

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

AFSHIN BAHRAMPOUR,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:21-cv-01954-JAD-NJK

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

14 Plaintiff brings this case *pro se* and seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Docket No. 1.  
15 Plaintiff also submitted a complaint. Docket No. 1-1. On April 14, 2017, United States District  
16 Judge Gloria M. Navarro deemed Plaintiff a vexatious litigant under 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a). *See*  
17 *Bahrampour v. United States of America, et al.*, Case No. 2:16-cv-00985-GMN-VCF, 2017 WL  
18 1375173 (D. Nev. Apr. 14, 2017) (adopting report and recommendation to deem Plaintiff a  
19 vexatious litigant); *see also Bahrampour v. United States of America, et al.*, Case No. 2:16-cv-  
20 00985-GMN-VCF, 2016 WL 11185590 (D. Nev. June 5, 2016) (report and recommendation).

21 Judge Navarro's order bars Plaintiff from filing any complaint, petition, or other document  
22 without first obtaining leave of the Chief District Judge by filing an application seeking leave to  
23 file. *Bahrampour*, 2017 WL 135173, at \*2. Further, her order requires the application to be  
24 supported by a declaration that the matters have never been previously considered, are not  
25 frivolous or made in bad faith, and have been reasonably investigated prior to the application being  
26 filed. *Id.* Finally, the order requires Plaintiff to attach a copy of the vexatious litigant order to any  
27 applications. *Id.*  
28

1 On October 25, 2021, Plaintiff filed the instant action. Docket No. 1. In filing this action,  
2 Plaintiff failed to comply with Judge Navarro's order. Specially, he filed the action without the  
3 Chief District Judge's permission, he failed to file the action as an application for approval, and  
4 he failed to include a copy of the vexatious litigant order or the required declaration. *See* Docket.

5 Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that  
6 Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, Docket No. 1, be **DENIED**, and that this case  
7 be **DISMISSED** for failure to comply with Judge Navarro's prefiling order.

8 Dated: November 1, 2021.

9  
10   
11 NANCY J. KOPPE  
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 **NOTICE**

14 This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States District Judge assigned  
15 to this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and  
16 recommendation must file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen  
17 days of being served with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file  
18 a timely objection may waive the right to appeal the district court's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951  
19 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28